

BS



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,395	03/13/2001	Harold E.A. Hansen II	16312-P001C1	7984

7590 10/21/2004

Attention: Kelly K. Kordzik  
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.  
Suite 800  
100 Congress Avenue  
Austin, TX 78701

EXAMINER

CHOW, MING

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2645	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/805,395		HANSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ming Chow		2645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ming Chow. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Kelly Kordzik. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: general.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant questioned about objections to the drawings and USC 112 rejection to the claim 71. The Examiner explained the objection to the drawing and suggested Applicant to include the same name used in the specification on the drawings. Further, the Examiner suggested the Applicant to include arguments in the next response for further considerations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required